



Bull. Sci. math. 136 (2012) 37–43

BULLETIN DES
SCIENCES
MATHÉMATIQUESwww.elsevier.com/locate/bulsci

Flat connections on punctured surfaces and geodesic polygons in a Lie group

Indranil Biswas*, Saikat Chatterjee

School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Bombay 400005, India

Received 21 September 2011

Available online 14 October 2011

Abstract

Let S be a subset of n points on a compact connected oriented surface M of genus g , and let G be a compact semisimple Lie group. The space of isomorphism classes of flat G -connections on $P := M \setminus S$ with fixed conjugacy class of monodromy around each point of S will be denoted by \mathcal{R} . It is known that \mathcal{R} has a natural symplectic structure. We relate \mathcal{R} with the space of geodesic $(4g + n)$ -gons in G . A natural 2-form on the space of geodesic $(4g + n)$ -gons is constructed using the Killing form on $\text{Lie}(G)$. We establish an identity between the symplectic form on \mathcal{R} and this 2-form on geodesic $(4g + n)$ -gons in G .

© 2011 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

MSC: 53D30; 53C05

Keywords: Punctured surface; Flat connection; Compact Lie group; Geodesic polygon

1. introduction

Let M be a compact connected oriented surface of genus g . Fix a finite subset

$$S := \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\} \subset M.$$

The complement $M \setminus S$ will be denoted by P . Fix a compact connected semisimple Lie group G . By a conjugacy class in G we will mean an orbit for the adjoint action of G on itself. For each point $s_i \in S$, fix a conjugacy class $C_{s_i} \subset G$. The adjoint action of G on itself produces an action of G on the space of homomorphisms from $\pi_1(P)$ to G . Let

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: indranil@math.tifr.res.in (I. Biswas), saikat@math.tifr.res.in (S. Chatterjee).

$$\mathcal{R} \subset \text{Hom}(\pi_1(P), G)/G$$

be the subspace consisting of all homomorphisms ρ such that ρ takes the oriented loop around s_i into C_{s_i} for every i . This \mathcal{R} has a natural symplectic structure (see [3–6,1]).

Let B be the Killing form on $\mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie}(G)$. A Riemannian metric on G is constructed by taking G -translations of B . A geodesic N -gon in G is a continuous mapping

$$\tau : [1, N] \longrightarrow G$$

such that $\tau(0) = \tau(N) = e$ and for each integer $1 \leq i \leq N$, the restriction of τ to $[i-1, i]$ is a geodesic in G . Let $\mathfrak{D}(N)$ denote the space of all geodesic N -gons in G . There is a natural C^∞ two-form on $\mathfrak{D}(N)$ defined by the trilinear form $(a, b, c) \mapsto B(a, [b, c])$ on \mathfrak{g} . This two-form on $\mathfrak{D}(N)$ will be denoted by θ .

Our aim here is to establish a relationship between this two-form θ on $\mathfrak{D}(4g+n)$ and the symplectic form on \mathcal{R} . This was done earlier in [2] for the special of $g=0$. We recall this result of [2].

Take $M = S^2$. Let $\tilde{\omega}_0$ be the symplectic form on \mathcal{R} . For each $i \in n$, fix a conjugacy class $\tilde{C}_{s_i} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ for the adjoint action of G on \mathfrak{g} . Assume that $\exp(\tilde{C}_{s_i}) = C_{s_i}$, where $\exp : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow G$ is the exponential map.

Let \tilde{A} be the space of all maps f from S to \mathfrak{g} such that $f(s_i) \in \tilde{C}_{s_i}$ and

$$\prod_{j=1}^n \exp f(s_j) = e,$$

where $e \in G$ is the identity element. The group G acts on \tilde{A} through conjugation. Let

$$\tilde{\psi} : \tilde{A} \longrightarrow \hat{A} := \tilde{A}/G$$

be the quotient map for the action of G . We have a natural map

$$\tilde{H} : \hat{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}$$

constructed using the exponential map from \mathfrak{g} to G .

Let

$$\tilde{\phi} : \tilde{A} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{D}(n)$$

be the map that sends any $f \in \tilde{A}$ to the geodesic n -gon on G whose i -th edge is

$$t \mapsto \exp f(s_1) \exp f(s_2) \cdots \exp t f(s_i), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

In Theorem 1.1 of [2] it was shown that the two-form $\tilde{\phi}^* \theta$ on \tilde{A} coincides with the pullback, by the map $\tilde{H} \circ \tilde{\psi}$, of the symplectic form on \mathcal{R} .

In Theorem 2.1, the above theorem of [2] is generalized to surfaces of arbitrary genus.

2. Symplectic form and geodesic polygons

Let M be a compact connected oriented surface of genus g . We fix n points $S := \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$ of M . Let $P := M \setminus S$ be the punctured surface. Fix a base point $p \in P$. The fundamental group $\pi = \pi_1(P, p)$ is generated by $2g+n$ elements

$$\Gamma := \{a_j, b_j, m_i \mid 1 \leq j \leq g, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$$

admitting a single relation

$$\left(\prod_{j=1}^g a_j b_j a_j^{-1} b_j^{-1} \right) \prod_{i=1}^n m_i = 1.$$

Let G be a connected compact semisimple Lie group; its Lie algebra will be denoted by \mathfrak{g} . Fix a conjugacy class $\tilde{C}_{s_i} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ for each $i \in [1, n]$. Let A be the space of all maps

$$f : \Gamma \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$$

such that

$$f(m_i) \in \tilde{C}_{s_i}, \quad f(a_j^{-1}) = -f(a_j), \quad f(b_j^{-1}) = -f(b_j), \quad (2.1)$$

and the following condition holds:

$$\prod_{i=1}^g \exp(f(a_i)) \exp(f(b_i)) \exp(-f(a_i)) \exp(-f(b_i)) \prod_{j=1}^n \exp(f(m_j)) = e. \quad (2.2)$$

We will construct an action of G on A . For any $h \in G$ and $f \in A$, define

$$\begin{aligned} (h \circ f)(a_j) &:= \text{Ad}_h(f(a_j)), & (h \circ f)(b_j) &:= \text{Ad}_h(f(b_j)), \\ (h \circ f)(m_i) &:= \text{Ad}_h(f(m_i)). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the condition in (2.2) is preserved by this action of G . Thus writing explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{i=1}^g \exp((h \circ f)(a_i)) \exp((h \circ f)(b_i)) \exp(-(h \circ f)(a_i)) \\ & \times \exp(-(h \circ f)(b_i)) \prod_{j=1}^n \exp(h \circ f)(m_j) = e. \end{aligned}$$

This produces an action of G on A where any $h \in G$ acts as $f \mapsto h \circ f$. Define the quotient space for this action of G on A

$$W := \frac{A}{G}$$

and the quotient map

$$\psi : A \longrightarrow W. \quad (2.3)$$

For any given $f \in A$, by sending each $a_j, b_j, m_i \in \Gamma$ to $\exp(f(a_j)), \exp(f(b_j)), \exp(f(m_i))$ respectively, we obtain a homomorphism from Γ to G . Define

$$\mathcal{R} := \frac{\{\rho \in \text{Hom}(\Gamma, G) \mid \rho(m_i) \in C_{s_i}\}}{G} \subset \frac{\text{Hom}(\Gamma, G)}{G},$$

where $C_{s_i} = \exp(\tilde{C}_{s_i})$. Let

$$H : W \longrightarrow \mathcal{R} \quad (2.4)$$

be the map defined by

$$\begin{aligned} H(f)(a_j) &:= \exp(f(a_j)), & H(f)(b_j) &:= \exp(f(b_j)), \\ H(f)(m_i) &:= \exp(f(m_i)) \in C_{s_i}, \end{aligned}$$

where $f \in W$.

Let B be the G -invariant symmetric bilinear 2-form on \mathfrak{g} defined by the Killing form. Let $E \longrightarrow P$ be a flat principal G -bundle. Let

$$\iota : P \hookrightarrow M$$

be the inclusion map. The G -invariant bilinear form B induces a bilinear form on the adjoint vector bundle $\text{ad}(E)$ of E . The connection on E induces a connection on $\text{ad}(E)$. Let $\Sigma(\text{ad}(E))$ denote the sheaf of locally defined flat sections of $\text{ad}(E)$. Define the direct image $\iota_* \Sigma(\text{ad}(E)) \longrightarrow M$.

Let ω be the space of all flat connections on E . The space \mathcal{R} is the space of all isomorphism classes of (E, ∇) , where $\nabla \in \omega$ satisfying the condition that for any $s_i \in S$ and any loop c_i around s_i ,

$$\exp \int_{c_i} \nabla \in C_{s_i}$$

(C_{s_i} is the fixed conjugacy class associated to s_i). The tangent space at any $\rho \in \mathcal{R}$ is given by

$$T_\rho \mathcal{R} = H^1(M, \iota_* \Sigma(\text{ad}(E)))$$

(see [3]). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} T_\rho \mathcal{R} \otimes T_\rho \mathcal{R} &= H^1(M, \iota_* \Sigma(\text{ad}(E))) \otimes H^1(M, \iota_* \Sigma(\text{ad}(E))) \longrightarrow H^2(M, \iota_* \Sigma(\text{ad}(E))) \\ &\quad \otimes \iota_* \Sigma(\text{ad}(E)). \end{aligned}$$

As B is G -invariant, we have a bilinear pairing

$$\tilde{B} : \iota_* \Sigma(\text{ad}(E)) \otimes \iota_* \Sigma(\text{ad}(E)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

and hence

$$H^2(M, \iota_* \Sigma(\text{ad}(E)) \otimes \iota_* \Sigma(\text{ad}(E))) \xrightarrow{\tilde{B}_*} H^2(M, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}.$$

The natural symplectic form Ω_0 on \mathcal{R} is given by the homomorphism

$$T_\rho \mathcal{R} \otimes T_\rho \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

obtained by combining the above two homomorphisms [3,1]. We define the form

$$\Omega := H^* \Omega_0$$

on W , where H is constructed in (2.4).

Next we describe the tangent space of A . Let us define for any a_j, b_j or m_i , and $f \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}(f(a_j)) &:= [f(a_j), \mathfrak{g}] \subset \mathfrak{g}, & \mathfrak{g}(f(b_j)) &:= [f(b_j), \mathfrak{g}] \subset \mathfrak{g}, \\ \mathfrak{g}(f(m_i)) &:= [f(m_i), \mathfrak{g}] \subset \mathfrak{g}, \end{aligned}$$

where $1 \leq j \leq g$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let V_f be the space of all functions $v : \Gamma \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) $v(a_j) \in \mathfrak{g}(f(a_j))$,
- (2) $v(b_j) \in \mathfrak{g}(f(b_j))$,
- (3) $v(m_i) \in \mathfrak{g}(f(m_i))$,
- (4) $\sum_{\mu=1}^{4g+n} \text{Ad}_{f_\mu}(v(\alpha_\mu)) = 0$,

where f_μ is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_1 &= \exp f(a_1), \\
 f_2 &= \exp f(a_1) \exp f(b_1), \\
 f_3 &= \exp f(a_1) \exp f(b_1) \exp(-f(a_1)), \\
 f_4 &= \exp f(a_1) \exp f(b_1) \exp(-f(a_1)) \exp(-f(b_1)), \\
 &\vdots \\
 f_{4g} &= \prod_{j=1}^g \exp f(a_j) \exp f(b_j) \exp(-f(a_j)) \exp(-f(b_j)), \\
 f_{4g+k} &= f_{4g} \prod_{i=1}^n \exp f(m_i), \quad 1 \leq k \leq n,
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

and $\alpha_1 = a_1, \alpha_2 = b_1, \alpha_3 = a_1^{-1}, \alpha_4 = b_1^{-1}, \alpha_5 = a_2, \dots, \alpha_{4g} = b_g^{-1}, \alpha_{4g+i} = m_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proposition 2.1. *The tangent space $T_f A$ is identified with V_f defined above.*

Proof. As \mathfrak{g} is a Lie algebra, the conditions (1) and (2) are obtained. Also from (2.1) we know that $f(m_i)$ is in the conjugacy class C_{s_i} , hence under the Lie bracket it remains in C_{s_i} ; so (2.1) leads to condition (3). On the other hand, (2.2) is preserved under the adjoint action, hence (2.2) leads to the condition (4). Consequently, V_f gets identified with $T_f A$. \square

In view of Proposition 2.1, we have the following identity (see [1, (3.5)] and [1, Theorem 1]):

Proposition 2.2.

$$\sum_1^{n+4g} B(f(\alpha_\mu), [v(\alpha_\mu), w(\alpha_\mu)]) = (\psi^* H^* \Omega_0)(v, w), \tag{2.6}$$

where $\alpha_1 = a_1, \alpha_2 = b_1, \alpha_3 = a_1^{-1}, \alpha_4 = b_1^{-1}, \alpha_5 = a_2, \dots, \alpha_{4g+i} = m_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, Ω_0 is the canonical symplectic form on \mathcal{R} and $v, w \in V_f$.

For $g = 0$, this was proved in [2, Proposition 2.2].

Let us construct a $(4g + n)$ -sided geodesic polygon from a given $f \in W$. Vertices of the polygon are:

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_1 &= \exp(f(a_1)), \\
 f_2 &= \exp(f(a_1)) \exp(f(b_1)), \\
 f_3 &= \exp(f(a_1)) \exp(f(b_1)) \exp(-f(a_1)),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_4 &= \exp(f(a_1)) \exp(f(b_1)) \exp(-f(a_1)) \exp(-f(b_1)), \\
&\vdots \\
f_{4g} &= \prod_{j=1}^g \exp(f(a_j)) \exp(f(b_j)) \exp(-f(a_j)) \exp(-f(b_j)), \\
f_{4g+k} &= f_{4g} \prod_{i=1}^n \exp(f(m_i)), \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

So from (2.2) we have

$$f_{4g+n} = e.$$

Following the construction of [2], we set $f_0 = e$. For any $1 \leq \mu \leq 4g + n$, the edge that connects the vertices $f_{\mu-1}$ and f_μ will be denoted by $l_{\mu-1}^\mu$. Each edge is the geodesic segment defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
l_0^1 : t &\longmapsto \exp(tf(a_1)), \\
l_1^2 : t &\longmapsto f_1 \exp(tf(b_1)), \\
l_2^3 : t &\longmapsto f_2 \exp(-tf(a_1)), \\
l_3^4 : t &\longmapsto f_3 \exp(-tf(b_1)), \\
l_4^5 : t &\longmapsto f_4 \exp(tf(a_2)), \\
&\vdots \\
l_{4g-1}^{4g} : t &\longmapsto f_{4g-1} \exp(-tf(b_g)), \\
l_{4g+k-1}^{4g+k} : t &\longmapsto f_{4g+k-1} \exp(tf(m_k)), \quad 1 \leq k \leq n,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where $t \in [0, 1]$.

Let us denote the space of all such geodesic N -gons by $\mathfrak{D}(N)$. The polygon described above in (2.7) and (2.8) is a geodesic $(4g + n)$ -gon in G . So we have a map

$$\phi : W \longrightarrow \mathfrak{D}(4g + n) \tag{2.9}$$

that sends any $f \in W$ to the polygon described in (2.8).

We recall the construction of the 2-form θ on $\mathfrak{D}(N)$ (see [2]). Let θ_0 is the trilinear form on \mathfrak{g} given by

$$\theta_0 : \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}; \quad (a, b, c) \longmapsto B(a, [b, c]). \tag{2.10}$$

As B is G -invariant, we get a 3-form $\bar{\theta}$ on G using translations

$$(g' \cdot \theta_0) = \bar{\theta}(g'), \tag{2.11}$$

where $g' \in G$. Let τ be a geodesic N -gon on G . A tangent vector $v \in T_\tau \mathfrak{D}(N)$ is given by Jacobi fields along each l_{i-1}^i , where l_{i-1}^i is the edge (geodesic line segment) joining vertices $i - 1$ and i . Take $v, w \in T_\tau \mathfrak{D}(N)$; let \bar{v} and \bar{w} be the corresponding sections of $\tau^* T \mathfrak{D}(N)$. Now $\tau^* \bar{\theta}(v, w)$ gives a piece-wise smooth 1-form on $[1, N]$. Denoting integral of this 1-form on $[1, N]$ by $\theta(v, w) \in \mathbb{R}$, we have a 2-form θ on $\mathfrak{D}(N)$, which is given by

$$\theta : (v, w) \mapsto \theta(v, w) \quad (2.12)$$

for any $v, w \in T_\tau \mathfrak{D}(N)$.

Let $g' \in G$ and $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}$. Consider the geodesic segment

$$l : [0, 1] \longrightarrow G$$

defined by $t \mapsto g' \exp(t\alpha)$. For any $\beta, \gamma \in \mathfrak{g}$, let $\bar{\beta}$ and $\bar{\gamma}$ be the corresponding Jacobi field along l . Contracting $\bar{\theta}$ (constructed in (2.11)) by $\bar{\beta}$ and $\bar{\gamma}$ we obtain a 1-form on $[0, 1]$. Denote this 1-form by $\sigma(\beta, \gamma)$. We have

$$\int_0^1 \sigma(\beta, \gamma) = \theta_0(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \quad (2.13)$$

(see [2, Proposition 2.3]); so, from the definition of θ_0 in (2.10),

$$\int_0^1 \sigma(\beta, \gamma) = B(\alpha, [\beta, \gamma]).$$

Let τ be a geodesic $(4g + n)$ -gon as described in (2.8) and (2.7). For each edge $l_{\mu-1}^\mu$, $1 \leq \mu \leq 4g + n$, we apply (2.13); from Proposition 2.2,

$$\phi^* \theta|_{l_{\mu-1}^\mu} = \psi^* H^* \Omega_0|_{l_{\mu-1}^\mu},$$

where ϕ is defined in (2.9). Thus we have proven:

Theorem 2.1. *For a surface of genus g with n punctures, if the symplectic form on \mathcal{R} is Ω_0 and ϕ, ψ, H and θ are defined as in (2.9), (2.3), (2.4) and (2.12) respectively, then*

$$\psi^* H^* \Omega_0 = \phi^* \theta.$$

References

- [1] A.Y. Alekseev, A.Z. Malkin, Symplectic structure of the moduli space of flat connections on a Riemann surface, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 169 (1995) 99–119.
- [2] I. Biswas, Flat connections on a punctured sphere and geodesic polygons in a Lie group, *J. Geom. Phys.* 39 (2001) 129–134.
- [3] I. Biswas, K. Guruprasad, Principal bundles on open surfaces and invariant functions on Lie groups, *Internat. J. Math.* 4 (1993) 535–544.
- [4] W. Goldman, The symplectic nature of fundamental groups of surfaces, *Adv. Math.* 54 (1984) 200–225.
- [5] K. Guruprasad, Flat connections, geometric invariants and the symplectic nature of the fundamental group of surfaces, *Pacific J. Math.* 162 (1994) 45–55.
- [6] K. Guruprasad, J. Huebschmann, L. Jeffrey, A. Weinstein, Group systems, groupoids, and moduli spaces of parabolic bundles, *Duke Math. J.* 89 (1997) 377–412.